

# THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS

ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION  
IS WATER POLLUTION



GPO Box 935, Melbourne, VIC 3001  
[www.fluoridationnews.com](http://www.fluoridationnews.com)

FB: Australian-Anti-Fluoridation-Association

PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol 54  
No. 3

\$20 per annum posted Australia  
Published Quarterly

July - September  
2018

Print Post Approval  
PP331.985 00013  
ISSN 1445-2847

## Ask political candidates: *Do you Support Removing Fluoridation and keeping it out?*

We prefer to stay out of party politics and instead retain our focus on removing artificial water fluoridation. This time however we have a brief look from the political context and ask “*what might make a difference with removing water fluoridation?*” and why it’s important to do so.

Each year, the volume of scientific research continues to mount, showing fluoridation to be neither safe or effective, that instead it harms or kills people and life generally, more than what most people are as yet aware.

If we only look at the surface level, like most news coverage, we miss what’s under the surface. That takes time, energy and a willingness to search and consider. The search exposes some of the risks of fluoridation that reveal it to be a reckless and undesirable policy.

### Where Fluorides and Fluoridation come from

The original claims for lower decay rates was an association with calcium fluoride which occurred naturally in water.

Heavy industry in the 1930s knew they had serious litigation problems with fluoride emissions and Alcoa’s Francis Frary suggested to researcher Gerald Cox that fluoride might be beneficial to teeth. (Ref: Chris Bryson’s “*The Fluoride Deception*”)

Sodium fluoride was used instead, sourced in the early days as a waste product from Alcoa aluminium refineries. Sodium fluoride is far more soluble in water than calcium fluoride.


These days, most fluoridation chemicals come from the chimneys of phosphate fertiliser factories, captured by “wet scrubber” spray systems. The captured liquid results in two forms of fluoride: sodium silicofluoride powder (a classified schedule 6 poison) and liquid hexafluorosilicic acid (a schedule 7 poison).

Money from the fluoride waste industry turns economic and political wheels, to the detriment of the people.

Support for the fluoridation platform will end when enough voters discover which industrial fluoride waste chemicals are actually used.

Less than 5% of the world’s population uses artificially fluoridated water. The few countries that add industrial fluoride wastes to any significant extent include the USA, Australia, New Zealand, Ireland, Singapore and the UK (10%).

Support for the fluoridation platform will end when enough voters discover which industrial fluoride waste chemicals are actually used.



Instead of supporting industrial fluoride waste disposal, consider voting for candidates with integrity and who have respect for life itself.

Curiously, the incidence of tooth decay has been reducing at similar rates in fluoridated AND non-fluoridated countries, indicating the that the “wonder chemical” has nothing to do with the improvement. Since the 1930s Great Depression, oral hygiene has improved significantly and helped lower rates of dental decay, while nutrition is another key factor. ...cont. ►

### CONTENTS

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Ask political candidates: <i>Do you Support Removing Fluoridation and keeping it out?</i> | 1 |
| Victorian Election (24 November 2018)   | 3 |
| Brief News: Oberon NSW; Fraser Coast, Gladstone QLD                                       | 4 |


**Fluoride is cumulative, so it's absorbed (and at least 50% is retained) over the days, years and decades of exposure.**

At miniscule dosages such as one part per million (1 ppm), which is often the concentration used for water fluoridation, we usually don't notice effects straight away, but in time the effects make themselves known in chronic conditions.

## **Fluoride is anti-life, it harms and kills things.**

**Fluoride is anti-life, it harms and kills things. It's a proto-plasmic poison, that is, it affects all cells and body systems.** Fluoride causes many forms of damage including premature ageing. Do you have arthritis, stiff joints, brittle bones or teeth? Bones accumulate fluoride at thousands of parts per million.

PEW Trust's message wheel (below) was part of an internal document designed to guide fluoridation promoters to steer clear of talking about these issues. Notice how the wheel covers a wide range of health conditions that they *know* are linked with fluoridation, including various brain issues. Many of the mechanisms are well understood.



Fluoride's health effects were covered in detail in the previous edition of *The Australian Fluoridation News* (Apr-Jun).

There are so many reasons to stop fluoridation: from health risks, our right to choose what medications we take, or even to measure a dialy dose because it depends on how much we drink, the lack of credible safety or effectiveness studies, and the to-this-day unanswered questions asked of government health departments and other captured 'authorities'.

## **Even the disillusioned can make a mark**

Some Australians aren't on the electoral roll. Some who are registered don't turn up at all – which may result in a fine, the

worst outcome as they're asked to pay people they're not happy with! Others leave ballot forms blank or incomplete.

We understand these approaches can come from a state of disillusionment, when it's clear that the corporate state is corrupt, it's impacting us and we think our vote won't make any difference. It feels bad. We can easily become cynical and argue against hope and the possibility of a brighter future.

You are not alone. There's a growing number of people aware of the fluoridation fraud (and various other frauds) being perpetrated against us and our fellow human beings.

**The task for us all is to rise above the rampant criminality, and despite how it seems, to become our biggest and best version of ourselves, finding ways to make a difference together.** One way is to find parties and independent candidates who are genuinely committed to removing fluoridation and improving the world and our lives for the better. You'll feel better too!

## **Pick a candidate who'll actually represent you – and let them know what your will is**

**Many politicians just follow party policy. Instead, they need to represent the majority will of their constituents while adhering to the Commonwealth Constitution.**

Do politicians know what their constituents want? To an extent they do, as their polls regularly monitor sentiment and look for current trends. So it's important for a groundswell of aware people to voice their concerns, and spread this information even further so others can do the same.

**Party policy appears to be all too easily for sale. All three main political parties support fluoridation. These parties and their frontmen are not representing us; they're representing industrial fluoride waste producers and downstream disposal industries.**

Of the three main parties, the Greens are the most likely to want to keep industrial fluoride wastes out of drinking water. The current Greens leader is a trained medical doctor with the "blinkers" on about fluoride. An undercurrent within the Greens party is well aware of the absurdity of fluoridation but they are not yet vocal about it. The Greens in Canada are opposed to fluoridation – why not in Australia?

**Meanwhile, other parties and some independents are aware of the truth about fluoridation.**

**Instead of supporting industrial fluoride waste disposal, consider voting for candidates with integrity and who have respect for life itself.**

## **Preferential Voting**

In preferential voting, one candidate must receive an absolute majority, of more than 50% to be elected.

If no one achieves on this initial count, the candidate with the smallest number of votes is excluded and that candidate's ballot papers are transferred to the remaining candidates according to their next preference (second, in this case).

Once these next preferences are transferred, if no candidate has more than 50%, the process continues, until a candidate has an absolute majority.

The process is different for the Legislative Council (upper house) where five representatives are elected proportionally in each of the Victoria's eight regions. ... continued ►

### **Some Reputable Info Sources on Fluorides and Health:**

- Book:** Chris Bryson, "The Fluoride Deception" (2004)
- Book:** Dr Bruce Spittle, "Fluoride Fatigue" (3rd Edn)
- AFN:** Past issues of *The Australian Fluoridation News*
- DVDs:** Fluoridegate & "Fluoride: Poison on Tap" (on Youtube)
- FAN:** [www.fluoridealert.org/researchers/health\\_database](http://www.fluoridealert.org/researchers/health_database)
- ResearchGate:** [www.researchgate.net/profile/Geoff\\_Pain](http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Geoff_Pain)
- Fluoride Journal:** [www.fluorideresearch.org](http://www.fluorideresearch.org)
- GreenMedInfo:** [greenmedinfo.com/toxic-ingredient/fluoride](http://greenmedinfo.com/toxic-ingredient/fluoride)

## Ask your Local Candidates

To know our vote is going toward someone who will respect our will to remove fluoridation, we must find out or ask contending politicians, *“Do you support the removal of artificial water fluoridation?”*

It's a yes/no question, so you may need to ask them again! If they don't answer the question or remain on the fence, tell them you will take that as a “no” and that they've just lost your vote. Otherwise, thank them and tell everyone you've found a prospective “freedom of choice” politician (who prefers freedom instead of forced fluoridation medication).

## Possible Political Approaches

**What follows are some possible approaches to vote fluoridation out. Politics, like religion, are topics not discussed easily, so we will keep this general and brief.**

**As with anything, please make up your own mind.**

**To reject water fluoridation politically, a voter would place suitable fluoride-free candidates first, in their order of preference, followed by all the mass fluoridators last (from least-worst down to absolute worst).**

We need to research candidates before election day to be sure we regard them as electable. We can find their policies by checking their website or communications, or even phoning their office staff, or by asking them in person.

Whether in Australia, the United States or elsewhere, many voters like to vote for either the red party or the blue party. The approach above is still possible, and could be modified to put suitable fluoride free candidates first that you are happy with, to illustrate your will that the fluoridation scheme ceases, and then select the blue or red as a lower preference, whichever is preferred. It may well be the red or blue candidate that eventually wins the seat, but the idea is that we don't just give them the seat straight away. Instead we send a message that artificial water fluoridation is ending in Australia.

**This approach can be used anywhere: federal, state or with local council elections.**

## Ignore Dirty Tricks, “spanners in the works”

Sometimes candidates who are doing well are targetted in the final stages of a campaign with a “spanner thrown in the works”, a nasty information sheet of outright lies that leaves the candidate with little time or capability to respond.

“Who benefits?” Consider not rewarding them. It's highly unlikely that a political party itself would arrange it. Generally the “status quo” has the most to gain [or lose!] and with solid financial resources can more easily spend a modest amount to derail the democratic process. It's illegal, immoral and a low act of calculated desperation.

If any candidate, anywhere, is targetted, it will be obvious. Don't believe a word of any nasty last minute information, ignore it completely, and ask everyone else to do the same.

## Conclusion

**Elections are a great time to educate people about fluorides and fluoridation, with pollies on the edge of their seats. Nervous politicians are more likely to actually listen and voters can ask all their important questions and make the prospective public servant work hard to earn their job.**

## Victorian Election (24 November 2018)

We draw attention to some parties and candidates as being keen to end the fluoridation scheme, but being non-party-political, we do not endorse any candidates. We'd list more fluoridation-aware parties and candidates if we knew of them. Other parties and independents in your area may also support the removal of fluoridation, so be sure to ask them.

**The Great Australian Party (GAP)** is a new party which is clearly against fluoridation. They're not running in the Victorian election, but will in the Federal election. We'll cover them in a future edition. See [thegreataustralianparty.com.au](http://thegreataustralianparty.com.au)

**The Democratic Labour Party (DLP)** has been opposed to fluoridation historically, but this time their policies don't indicate anything about fluoridation. They have three candidates running, in the Western Victoria and Western Metropolitan Regions, so if you are in these regions, be sure to ask them beforehand. See [dlp.org.au](http://dlp.org.au)

**The Health Australia Party (HAP)** was created in 2015 and this election is running 16 candidates for the Legislative Council (upper house) in all regions across the state.

The party's Fluoridation policy states:

***“The HAP believes that sodium fluoride, hydrofluorosilic acid and other chemical products called “fluoride” are toxic chemical waste products that are classified as class 6 poisons and should not be placed into public water supplies. Medicating a population through the water supply is in breach of accepted medical ethical codes as there is neither informed consent, nor the ability to opt out. It is noted that 97% of the Western European population and the population of Japan drinks non-fluoridated water.”***

Dr Geoff Pain, with whom you may be familiar with from his ResearchGate articles republished in *The Australian Fluoridation News* in recent years, is running as a Health Australia Party candidate for Victoria's Eastern Region, along with Katherine Holmes. This region (of five upper house seats) covers parts of the Dandenongs and Mornington peninsula all the way east through Gippsland to the border.

For more information, see [healthaustraliaparty.com.au](http://healthaustraliaparty.com.au) or <https://www.facebook.com/GeoffPainForEasternRegionVictoria>

## Dandenongs seats: Monbulk & Gembrook

Victorian Deputy Premier James Merlino, who received only 37.5% of the primary vote in 2014, will find it hard to retain the lower house seat because of the policy of forced mass “medication” using industrial fluoride wastes to poison the water in his Monbulk electorate. Towns affected by the new poisoning plant on the Emerald-Kallista Road include Kallista, Monbulk, The Patch and Avonsleigh. Multiple candidates opposing fluoridation may direct preferences putting Mr Merlino last. This could be decisive in the contest.

The Dandenongs' Gembrook District covers the towns of Gembrook, Menzies Creek, Clematis, Cockatoo and Emerald.

**It's in your hands how you vote, please take some time to research further and consider your best options.**

For election details, see [vec.vic.gov.au](http://vec.vic.gov.au)

For candidates, electorates, analysis & election coverage, see [abc.net.au/news/elections/vic-election-2018/](http://abc.net.au/news/elections/vic-election-2018/)

**Oberon (NSW) Surveys; Council on Notice**

**Two surveys of the Oberon community yielding significantly different results have sparked controversy.**

**The surveys by the local Council and NSW Health were both conducted before the July 17 Council vote, where the council voted for fluoridation. Concerned residents have since conducted a thorough survey of all residents.**

Oberon Council had conducted the first survey, showing a considerable vote (69%) against fluoridating the town.

Immediately following this, NSW Health arranged their own phone survey over five nights. The phone survey results show a lower number against fluoridation (35%), which is perhaps not surprising for a Health Department survey. However, two thirds of those surveyed live out of town and use tank water, not town water, and would not be affected directly by fluoridation.

A group of concerned Oberon residents completed their own door-to-door survey (19 July to 7 Sept) for all properties using town water, all of which would be affected by the addition of fluorides. Residents were asked "unambiguously" for their yes/no response and whether they had been contacted by NSW Health for the phone survey.

**Table 1: Three Fluoridation Surveys of Oberon, 2018**

| Survey    | against | for | Un-decided | Total | % against | % for | %un-decided |
|-----------|---------|-----|------------|-------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| Council   | 418     | 192 | -          | 610   | 69%       | 31%   | -           |
| NSWHealth | 129     | 196 | 45         | 371   | 35%       | 53%   | 13%         |
| Residents | 533     | 119 | 42         | 694   | 77%       | 17%   | 6%          |

Council refused to consider the residents' survey, saying the decision was made already. Five Councillors had voted for fluoride drugging, three were against and one was absent. We appreciate the three Councillors standing up for residents' concerns to keep fluoride out, the majority view of the area.

**An eminent Australian lawyer has since provided a "toolkit" and put the Council on notice that it would be breaching the Commonwealth Constitution (s.109) which invalidates state legislation where it is inconsistent.** In this case, the *Therapeutic Goods Act* (1989) CTH overrules section six of the *Fluoridation of Public Water Supplies Act* (1957) NSW.

Any Council employee adding fluoride to water without a valid TGA manufacturing licence would be breaking the law.

The Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) have never registered fluoride as a drug, despite it having pharmacological effects on the body. So a Council employee would be within the law by *not* adding fluoride. Employees tasked with adding fluoride need to invest a little time to explore the toolkit and be clear where they stand. Any Councillor trying to convince or "overawe" an employee to add fluoride would be asking them to break the law. **As the toolkit says, "Informed people realise that they themselves are the source of power."**

**QLD Fluoride Pushers Try Bluff and Force, Again**  
**Unfluoridated Queensland regions including the Fraser Coast (and other councils nearby) are heating up as fluoride pushers are once again trying to convince everyone that toxic fluoride wastes are good for them.**

**Promoters will be hoping the locals don't remember what they knew five years ago when fluoride was removed.**

The Queensland offices of the Australian Medical Association (AMA) and the Australian Dental Association (ADA) are now saying the Fraser Coast Regional Council's 2013 decision to remove fluoride was condemning the area to a "dental dark age", as reported by News Corp's frasercoast chronicle.com.au

This scary-on-the-surface PR propaganda is the exact opposite of reality. It would be a "dental dark age" if industrial wastes were added to drinking water and paid for by taxpayers. Fluoridation promoters to this day are unable to name the "irrefutable studies" proving their claim of "safe and effective".

Get involved, educate everyone that the "safe and effective" dogma is a shallow disguise and to take a look under the surface. Equally important, make it clear to all representatives what your will is, i.e. to keep the fluoride wastes out. May the community rise and their wishes be heard again.

**Gladstone Squashes Fluoride Push Again**  
**Gladstone Regional Council (QLD) has rejected the latest fluoridation proposal six votes to two.**

It may be no surprise that one of the two councillors voting for the forced medication scheme is a trained dentist.

Fluoridation promoters keep trying because there is a lot of money to be made disposing of fluoride wastes. As yet, not enough people know, or the suggestion would be laughed down, so it's up to us all to spread this information, alter perceptions and allow people to consider and choose for themselves. Congratulations to Gladstone!

**Quotes**

**Dr John Yiamouyiannis**, Cancer Control J, Vol.5, no's1+2, p.75

"Our studies show that **cancers of the gastro-intestinal tract, kidney, bladder, breast and ovaries** are those primarily associated with **fluoride intake**."

**Thomas Jefferson**, co-writer of US Declaration of Independence:

"The spirit of the times may alter, will alter. Our rulers will become corrupt, our people careless... From the conclusion of this (revolutionary) war we shall be going down hill. It will not be necessary to resort every moment to the people for support. They will be forgotten, therefore, and their rights disregarded. They will forget themselves in the sole faculty of making money, and will never think of uniting to effect a due respect for their rights. The shackles, therefore, which shall not be knocked off at the

*conclusion of this war, will be heavier and heavier, till our rights shall revive or expire in a convulsion."*

|   |
|---|
| <p><b>Subscriptions: <i>The Australian Fluoridation News</i></b><br/> <b>\$20 per annum posted Australia. Send a cheque or money order to the Australian Anti-Fluoridation Association, GPO Box 935, Melbourne VIC 3001</b></p> |
|---|

**DISCLAIMER** The articles in this publication are for educational purposes only and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the editors or publisher. We do not endorse any treatments, medical or otherwise, and encourage our readers to continue with their own research and consult health professional(s) if they are ill.

**ALL RIGHTS RESERVED** Authorisation to mechanically or electronically copy the contents of this publication is granted by the publisher to approved persons and organisations, provided acknowledgement is given to the author and publisher.